

Paolo Creminelli, ICTP (Trieste)

# EFT in Cosmology

SILAFAE 2016

# EFT in cosmology



# EFT in cosmology

Prolification of EFT tecniques in cosmology. Why?

Better and better data!

E.g. Before year 2000  $\Omega_{\rm K}$  < I, now  $\Omega_{\rm K}$  < 0.005

- I. Explore all possible models
- 2. Parametrize needed precision
- 3. Parametrize uncalculable effects

Separation of scales



#### EFT of Large Scale Structure

Baumann, Nicolis, Senatore, Zaldarriaga 10



Continuity + Euler equations

$$\partial_{\tau} \delta_l + \partial_i \left[ (1 + \delta_l) v_l^i \right] = 0$$
$$\partial_{\tau} v_l^i + \mathcal{H} v_l^i + \partial_i \phi + v_l^k \partial_k v_l^i = 0$$

Non-linearities: SPT

#### EFT of Large Scale Structure

Baumann, Nicolis, Senatore, Zaldarriaga 10



Continuity + Euler equations

 $\partial_{\tau} \delta_{l} + \partial_{i} \left[ (1 + \delta_{l}) v_{l}^{i} \right] = 0, \qquad \text{New terms integrating out short modes} \\ \partial_{\tau} v_{l}^{i} + \mathcal{H} v_{l}^{i} + \partial_{i} \phi + v_{l}^{k} \partial_{k} v_{l}^{i} = -c_{s}^{2} \partial^{i} \delta_{l} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{c_{sv}^{2}}{\mathcal{H}} \partial^{2} v_{l}^{i} + \frac{4c_{bv}^{2} + c_{sv}^{2}}{4\mathcal{H}} \partial^{i} \partial_{j} v_{l}^{j} - \Delta J^{i} \dots$ 



# EFT of Bias

Mc Donald 06

How to relate distributions of tracers (clusters, Galaxies) to the underlying mass distribution?



 $\delta_h(\vec{x},t) = b_1 \delta(\vec{x},t) + b_2 \delta^2(\vec{x},t) + \ldots + b_{\nabla^2} \nabla^2 \delta(\vec{x},t) + \ldots + (\partial_i \partial_j \Phi)^2 + \ldots$ 

- Separation of scales object/perturbation
- All operators compatible with symmetries (e.g. equivalence principle)
- Composite operators, renormalization....

# Slow-roll inflation



Curvature redshifts away during inflation

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p) > 0 \qquad \qquad S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_p^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi)\right]$$



 $\hbar \neq 0$ 

Each inflaton Fourier mode behaves as a harmonic oscillator with time dependent parameters





 $\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1} \zeta_{\vec{k}_2} \rangle \quad \langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1} \zeta_{\vec{k}_2} \zeta_{\vec{k}_3} \rangle \quad \langle \gamma_{\vec{k}_1} \gamma_{\vec{k}_2} \rangle \quad \langle \gamma_{\vec{k}_1} \gamma_{\vec{k}_2} \zeta_{\vec{k}_3} \rangle$ 

....

#### We were born Gaussian

$$NG \sim f_{\rm NL} \cdot P_{\zeta}^{1/2} \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

Slow-roll = weak coupling = Gaussianity

Compare with Higgs:  $\lambda \sim 0.12$ 



PC, Luty, Nicolis, Senatore 06 Cheung, PC, Fitzpatrick, Kaplan, Senatore 07

EFT:

- Identify relevant degrees of freedom: focus on single-field inflation
- Identify symmetries at play



• Write lowest dim. operators compatible with symmetries

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R \right]$$

Fully diff. invariant

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R - c(t) g^{00} - \Lambda(t) \right]$$

g<sup>00</sup> is invariant under spatial diffs.

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R + M_P^2 \dot{H} g^{00} - M_P^2 (3H^2 + \dot{H}) \right]$$

coefficients are fixed by background

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R + M_P^2 \dot{H} g^{00} - M_P^2 (3H^2 + \dot{H}) + \frac{M_2(t)^4}{2!} (g^{00} + 1)^2 + \frac{M_3(t)^4}{3!} (g^{00} + 1)^3 + \dots \right]$$

Quadratic, cubic etc operators only affect perturbations at given order

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R + M_P^2 \dot{H} g^{00} - M_P^2 (3H^2 + \dot{H}) + \frac{M_2(t)^4}{2!} (g^{00} + 1)^2 + \frac{M_3(t)^4}{3!} (g^{00} + 1)^3 + \dots + \hat{M}^3(t) (g^{00} + 1) \delta K^{\mu}_{\ \mu} - \frac{\bar{M}_2(t)^2}{2} \delta K^{\mu}_{\ \mu}{}^2 + \dots \right]$$

Higher derivative corrections suppressed by  $\frac{\partial}{\Lambda}$  (unless extra symmetry)

#### All the models

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_P^2 R + \frac{M_P^2 \dot{H} g^{00} - M_P^2 (3H^2 + \dot{H})}{2!} + \frac{M_2(t)^4}{2!} (g^{00} + 1)^2 + \frac{M_3(t)^4}{3!} (g^{00} + 1)^3 + \ldots + \hat{M}^3(t) (g^{00} + 1) \delta K^{\mu}_{\mu} - \frac{\bar{M}_2(t)^2}{2} \delta K^{\mu}_{\mu}{}^2 + \ldots \right]$$

• Standard slow-roll: 
$$-\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) \longrightarrow -\frac{\dot{\phi}_0(t)^2}{2}g^{00} - V(\phi_0(t))$$

- K-inflation:  $P(X,\phi), \quad X \equiv (\partial \phi)^2$
- Galileon inflation, Ghost inflation

# **Decoupling limit**

At high energy the Goldstone decouples (like longitudinal Ws): usually good for inflation

$$\begin{split} & \left[ -M_P^2 \dot{H} \left( \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} \right) + 2M_2^4 \left( \dot{\pi}^2 + \dot{\pi}^3 - \dot{\pi} \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} \right) - \frac{4}{3} M_3^4 \dot{\pi}^3 \right] \\ & = \left[ -M_P^2 \dot{H} \left( \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} \right) - M_P^2 \dot{H} (1 - c_s^{-2}) \dot{\pi} \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} + \left( M_P^2 \dot{H} (1 - c_s^{-2}) - \frac{4}{3} M_3^4 \right) \dot{\pi}^3 \right] \end{split}$$



Analogue of precision EW observables

Relevant target:  $f_{NL}^{EQ} \sim I \quad c_s \sim I$ 

### Present and future of NGs

•  $f_{NL}^{eq} < 43$  Th. target:  $f_{NL}^{eq} \sim 1$ 

Very difficult to achieve on a 10y timescale

• 
$$f_{NL}^{loc} < 5$$
 Th. target:  $f_{NL}^{loc} \sim I$   
(second-field mechanism usually gives  $f_{NL}^{loc} > I$ )

Possible (?) with next generation LSS probes

... dreams about 21cm

#### The plane



$$P_{\zeta} = A \cdot k^{-3 + (n_s - 1)}$$

# The plane



Harrison – Zeldovich and  $\phi^2$  gone

# Mountains or hills ?



We now know it is not as simple as that !



#### The hunt for tensor modes

Present: r < 0.07 (BICEP-Keck 95-150 GHz + Planck)

Future. B-modes search is ongoing by many experiments:

- Ground based telescopes: ACTpol/AdvACT, CLASS, Keck/BICEP3, Qubic, Quijote, Polarbear, Simons Array, Spud, SPTpol/-3G, Stage IV;
- Balloon experiments: EBEX, Lspe, SPIDER, PIPER;
- Satellite missions: CMBPol, Pixie (NASA), EPIC (NASA), LiteBIRD (KEK), CoRE+ (ESA).

r = 0.001 achievable (?) even with ground-based and balloon borne experiments (100 smaller than background)

# Robust signature

- It is easy to play with scalar perturbations:
  - I. choice of potential
  - 2. speed of propagation  $c_S$
  - 3. many scalars (effects on late Universe)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

• It is not easy to play with gravity ! GWs are direct probes of H

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Parametrize the most general dynamics compatible with symmetries

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \Big[ R - 2(\dot{H} + 3H^2) + 2\dot{H}g^{00} - (1 - c_T^{-2}(t))(\delta K_{\mu\nu}\delta K^{\mu\nu} - \delta K^2) \Big]$$
$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} (\dot{h}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i)$$
$$S_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{8} \int d^4x a^3 c_T^{-2} \Big[ \dot{\gamma}_{ij}^2 - c_T^2 \frac{(\partial_k \gamma_{ij})^2}{a^2} \Big] \longrightarrow \Delta_T^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{c_T(t)}$$

PC, Gleyzes, Noreña, Vernizzi 14

- Scale invariance without  $H \sim const.$
- P<sub>T</sub> does not measure energy scale

• 
$$n_T \neq 2\dot{H}/H^2 < 0$$

$$\Delta_T^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{c_T(t)}$$

PC, Gleyzes, Noreña, Vernizzi 14

 $\Delta_T^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{c_T(t)}$ • Scale invariance without  $\Pi \sim \text{cons}$ •  $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{T}}$  does not measure energy scale

• 
$$n_T \neq 2\dot{H}/H^2 < 0$$

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

$$\Delta_T^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{c_T(t)}$$

- Scale invariance without H ~ const.
- P<sub>T</sub> does not measure energy scale

• 
$$n_T \neq 2\dot{H}/H^2 < 0$$

#### Disformal transformation:

# Higuchi bound

Higuchi 87

No extra light spin-2 during inflation

Spin-2 particles in de Sitter with  $m^2 < 2H^2$  are forbidden (besides the graviton)

Group theoretical statement

Arkani-Hamed, Maldacena 15

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij} \sim \eta^{\Delta}$$
  $\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{m^2}{H^2}}$ 

$$\langle \epsilon^2 . O_{\vec{k}} \tilde{\epsilon}^2 . O_{-\vec{k}} \rangle' \propto k^{2\Delta - 3} \left[ e^{-2i\chi} + \frac{4(3-\Delta)}{\Delta} e^{-i\chi} + \frac{6(3-\Delta)(2-\Delta)}{(\Delta - 1)\Delta} + \frac{4(3-\Delta)}{\Delta} e^{i\chi} + e^{2i\chi} \right]$$

Becomes negative for  $\Delta < I$ 

For example one cannot have KK gravitons with a small mass

# Single-field consistency relation for 3pf

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Violated in multifield:

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $f_{\rm NL}{}^{\rm local}\,as$  smoking gun for multifield models

# Tensor consistency relation for 3pf

Same logic leads to

$$\lim_{\vec{q}\to 0} \langle \gamma^s_{\vec{q}} \zeta_{\vec{k}_1} \zeta_{\vec{k}_2} \rangle' = -\langle \gamma^s_{\vec{q}} \gamma^s_{\vec{-}q} \rangle' \epsilon^s_{ij} k^i_1 k^j_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k^2_1} \langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1} \zeta_{-\vec{k}_1} \rangle'$$

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

see also Dimastrogiovanni, Fasiello, Kamiokowski 15 Violated if there are extra tensors

 $\gamma$ 

# Ways out

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Endlich, Nicolis, Wang 12

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$\langle \gamma_{\vec{q}\to 0}^{\lambda} \zeta_{\vec{k}} \zeta_{-\vec{k}} \rangle' = -\frac{10}{9} \, \frac{F_Y}{F} \, P_\gamma(q) P_\zeta(k) \, \frac{1}{c_L^2 \epsilon} \left( \hat{k}^i \hat{k}^j \epsilon_{ij}^{\lambda} \right)$$

#### The scalar tilt

Planck:  $n_s - 1 = -0.0348 \pm 0.0047$  ( $\gtrsim 7\sigma$ ) It is of order I/N (~ 0.02)

Did we expect that? Can we learn something on r?

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

Starobinsky,  $V \sim V_0(1 - e^{-\phi/M})$   $n_s - 1 = -\frac{2}{N}$ 

#### and not in others...

independent of N

• Hybrid: 
$$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda(\psi^2 - M^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\phi^2$$
  
 $n_s - 1 = 2M_P^2m^2/V_0$  independent of N

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Small but not so small because of SUGRA corrections (η-problem)?

Why not  $n_s - 1 \sim 0.1$  ?

• Natural inflation: 
$$V = V_0 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\phi}{f} \right) \right]$$

$$n_s - 1 = -a^2 \left( 1 + \frac{4}{(2+a^2)e^{a^2N} - 2} \right) \qquad a \equiv \frac{M_P}{f}$$

It scales like I/N only for a << I

#### Let us take it seriously

PC, Dubovsky, Nacir, Simonović, Trevisan, Villadoro, Zaldarriaga 14

 $n_{s}$  - I scales as I/N in a window (larger than observable one)  $\alpha$ 

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

# EFT of Dark Energy

PC, Luty, Nicolis, Senatore 06 Creminelli, D'Amico, Noreña, Vernizzi 07

Parametrize ignorance about dark energy (although we know it is a c.c.!)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{M_*^2}{2} f(t)^{(4)} R - \Lambda(t) - c(t) g^{00} + \frac{M_2^4(t)}{2} (\delta g^{00})^2 - \frac{m_3^3(t)}{2} \delta K \delta g^{00} - m_4^2(t) \left( \delta K^2 - \delta K^{\mu}_{\ \nu} \, \delta K^{\nu}_{\ \mu} \right) + \frac{\tilde{m}_4^2(t)}{2} R \, \delta g^{00} \right] \,.$$

Same logic as for inflation but:

- Coupling with matter is here relevant
- Different observables
- Usually only linear perturbation theory

# Conclusions

Era of precision cosmology  $\rightarrow$  Era of EFT in cosmology

- EFT of LSS
- EFT of Bias
- EFT of Inflation
- EFT of Dark Energy

Back up slides

# Advantages

• Usual approach: Start with action  $L(\phi, \partial \phi, \partial \partial \phi, ...) \rightarrow$  find inflating solution

ightarrow expand in perturbations

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Operators are what measured by experiments
- Not obvious the same EFT also describes very different  $\dot{\phi}_0$

E.g. P(X) describes a superfluid, but only for  $\dot{\phi}_0 \neq 0$ 

• No field redefinition ambiguity:  $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(\phi)$ 

#### de Sitter SO(4, I)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

Inflation takes place in  $\sim dS$ 

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{H^{2}\eta^{2}}(-d\eta^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2})$$

- Translations, rotations: ok
- Dilations  $\eta \rightarrow \lambda \eta, \ \vec{x} \rightarrow \lambda \vec{x}$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  scale-invariance

$$\varphi_{\vec{k}} \to \lambda^3 \varphi_{\vec{k}/\lambda} \qquad \qquad \langle \varphi_{\vec{k}_1} \varphi_{\vec{k}_2} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \frac{1}{k_1^3} F(k_1\eta)$$

assuming approximate  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + c$ 

# Disformed away

Blue tilt using c\_T → Stable NEC violation with operator  $\delta N \delta K$  PC, Luty, Nicolis, Senatore 06

No loss of generality in taking  $c_T = I$ (even multifield or alternatives to inflation)

Exceptions: I. Different symmetry pattern (solid inflation, gauge-flation...)2. GWs not produced as vacuum fluctuations

• Running 
$$\alpha$$
  $\frac{d\epsilon^{-1}}{d\log N} - \alpha(N)\epsilon^{-1} = -2N$   $\epsilon^{-1}(N) = -2e^{\int_{1}^{N} \frac{d\tilde{N}}{N}\alpha(\tilde{N})} \int_{1}^{N} d\tilde{N}e^{-\int_{1}^{N} \frac{d\tilde{N}}{N}\alpha(\tilde{N})} + Ae^{\int_{1}^{N} \frac{d\tilde{N}}{N}\alpha(\tilde{N})}$ .  
• No lower bound on r  
• "Forbidden" region: exp target  
• Relevance of tilt  
• Running  $-\alpha/N_{*}^{2} \simeq -7 \cdot 10^{-4}$   
can we measure it ?  
•  $c_{s}$  opens degeneracies  
Zavala 14  
 $degeneracies$   
Zavala 14  
 $degeneracies$   
 $degeneracies$   
Zavala 14  
 $degeneracies$   
 $degen$